

DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

Meeting held on Wednesday, 14th October, 2020 at 7.00 pm via Microsoft Teams and streamed live

Voting Members

Cllr J.H. Marsh (Chairman)
Cllr C.J. Stewart (Vice-Chairman)

Cllr Mrs. D.B. Bedford
Cllr J.B. Canty
Cllr R.M. Cooper
Cllr P.I.C. Crerar
Cllr P.J. Cullum
Cllr K. Dibble
Cllr C.P. Grattan
Cllr Nadia Martin
Cllr B.A. Thomas

Non-Voting Member

Cllr Marina Munro (Planning and Economy Portfolio Holder) (ex officio)

38. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

39. MINUTES

The Minutes of the meeting held on 16th September, 2020 were approved and signed by the Chairman.

40. PLANNING APPLICATIONS

RESOLVED: That

- (i) planning permission/consent be refused in respect of the following application as set out in Appendix "A" attached hereto for the reasons mentioned therein:

20/00593/FULPP (No. 16 Churchill Avenue, Aldershot);

- (ii) the applications dealt with by the Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing, where necessary in consultation with the Chairman, in accordance with the Council's Scheme of Delegation, more particularly specified in

Section “D” of the Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing’s Report No. EPSH2030, be noted;

- (iii) the current position with regard to the following applications be noted pending consideration at a future meeting:

20/00149/FULPP (Units 2A & 3, Blackwater Shopping Park, 12 Farnborough Gate, Farnborough);

20/00400/FULPP (Land at former Lafarge site, Hollybush Lane, Farnborough);

20/00508/FULPP (The Galleries, High Street, Aldershot).

41. REPRESENTATIONS BY THE PUBLIC

In accordance with the guidelines for public participation at meetings, the following representations were made to the Committee and were duly considered before a decision was reached:

Application No.	Address	Representation	In support of or against the application
20/00593/FULPP	No. 16 Churchill Avenue, Aldershot	Mr. L. Ralph	Against
		Mr. J. Mandozai	In support

42. APPEALS PROGRESS REPORT

(1) New Appeal

Address	Description
No. 162 Fleet Road, Farnborough	Against an enforcement notice requiring removal of a two-metre high timber fence with access front gate to the front of property and covered carport. It was noted that this appeal would be considered by way of the written method, together with the planning appeal against refusal of permission to retain the unauthorised development which had been reported in July 2020.

(2) Appeal Decision

Application / Enforcement Case No.	Description	Decision
19/00368/FULPP	Against the Council’s refusal of planning permission for the erection of a single storey side extension at No. 91 Cranmore	Split decision – allowed

Lane, Aldershot, and alterations to solely in
detached garage to form store. respect of
the
garage/store
conversion

RESOLVED: That the Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing's Report No. EPSH2031 be noted.

43. **ESSO PIPELINE PROJECT**

The Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing gave a verbal update on the position with regard to application 19/00432/PINS following the issue on 7th October, 2020 of the Development Consent Order (DCO) to ESSO for the Major Infrastructure Project to renew and partially realign the Southampton to London fuel pipeline which crossed Rushmoor Borough.

The Committee noted that ESSO had provided revised Site Specific Plans (SSP) for both Queen Elizabeth Park (QEP) and Southwood Country Park. In respect of the SSP for QEP, the revised plan was an improvement on the previous version but did not fully address the significant local concerns regarding the impact of the development in relation to the loss of trees in the park, albeit that the removal of any mature or veteran trees was now prohibited within the SSP. The Committee was also advised that ESSO required the Council's approval on noise mitigations and on the Construction Management Plan.

In response to queries that had been raised, the Head of Planning, Economy and Strategic Housing advised that there was no right of appeal against a decision by the Secretary of State to grant a DCO; a decision could only be judicially reviewed if there was a clear error of law or procedure.

The Head of Planning, Economy and Strategic Housing responded to queries from Members, which included a second pipeline replacement and timescales.

RESOLVED: That the report be noted.

The meeting closed at 8.05 pm.

CLLR J.H. MARSH (CHAIRMAN)
